



### Associations between PIF, empathy and shame among Trainee physicians

## Department of international Cooperation for Medical Education 2022.11.30

Wu Han







Shame is a powerful, deeply uncomfortable, self-denigrating emotion that influences behaviour and identity by sensitizing individuals to the perceived opinions of others<sup>[1,2].</sup>

Shame inheres in the experience of seeing oneself as critically flawed in relation to some internalized ideal, thereby judging one's self as globally deficient or unworthy <sup>[3]</sup>.

[1] Tracy JL, Robins RW. Target Article: "putting the self into self-conscious emotions: a theoretical model". Psychol Inq. 2004;15:103–25.

[2] Lazare A. Shame and humiliation in the medical encounter. Arch Intern Med. 1987;147:1653–8.

[3] Scheff T. Goffman on emotions: the pride-shame system. Symb Interact. 2014;37:108–21.







- A pro-social device promoting group cohesion and preventing social disintegration ;
- Receives East Asian cultural influence [4].

[4] Song T R . Shame and guilt in the Japanese culture: A study of lived experiences of moral failures of Japanese emerging generation and its relation to the church missions in Japan.[D]. Trinity International University. 2009.





When physicians fail to live up to the values essential to maintaining their professional identity formation (PIF)<sup>[5]</sup>.



#### THE NEW ZEALAND MEDICAL JOURNAL

Shame, guilt and the medical practitioner

Wayne Cunningham and Hamish Wilson



[5] Bynum WE 4th, Goodie JL. Shame, guilt, and the medical learner: ignored connections and why we should care. Med Educ. 2014

[6] Whelan B, Hjörleifsson S, Schei E. Shame in medical clerkship: "You just feel like dirt under someone's shoe". Perspect Med Educ. 2021





When physicians fail to live up to the values essential to maintaining their professional identity formation (PIF)<sup>[5]</sup>.



[5] Bynum WE 4th, Goodie JL. Shame, guilt, and the medical learner: ignored connections and why we should care. Med Educ. 2014
[6] Whelan B, Hjörleifsson S, Schei E. Shame in medical clerkship: "You just feel like dirt under someone's shoe". Perspect Med Educ. 2021
[7] Elton, C. Also Human: The Inner Lives of Doctors. Heinemann, 2018



SHAME





During the outbreaks, doctors, along with other health-care workers, have become the targets of online shaming pile-ons.

The online shaming directed at doctors has shifted its focus throughout the pandemic, from shaming health-care professionals for potentially spreading the virus to discrediting them as witnesses<sup>[8]</sup>.

[8] Dolezal L, Rose A, Cooper F. COVID-19, online shaming, and health-care professionals. Lancet. 2021

[9] Matsuo T, Kobayashi D, Taki F, et al. Prevalence of Health Care Worker Burnout During the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic in Japan. JAMA Netw Open. 2020

















Experiences, concerns, and the perspectives of the patient, combined with a capacity to communicate this understanding, and an intention to help<sup>[10]</sup>.

	Bivariate Models			Multivariate model			
	Beta coefficient	Standard error	P value	Beta coefficient	Standard error	P value	
JSPE	0.065	0.020	0.0012	0.061	0.021	0.0033	
Age	-0.11	0.076	0.17	-0.11	0.78	0.16	
Gender	1.0	0.70	0.15	0.49	0.73	0.51	
Debt	-0.017	0.14	0.90	-0.055	0.15	0.71	
People affinity*	-0.29	0.70	0.68	-1.3	0.90	0.16	
Tech affinity <sup>+</sup>	-1.36	0.81	0.093	-0.5	0.75	0.50	

Outcome = overall OSCE score

	Bivariate Models			Multivariate model			
	Beta coefficient	Standard error	P value	Beta coefficient	Standard error	P value	
JSPE	0.027	0.011	0.016	0.022	1.7	0.067	
Age	-0.10	0.045	0.028	-0.086	0.012	0.067	
Gender	1.2	0.38	0.001	1.1	0.047	0.0078	
Debt	-0.13	0.090	0.15	-0.13	0.39	0.13	
People affinity*	0.20	0.41	0.62	0.053	0.090	0.90	
Tech affinity+	-1.4	0.46	0.0028	-1.3	0.43	0.0082	



[11] Casas RS, Xuan Z, Jackson AH, Stanfield LE, Harvey NC, Chen DC. Associations of medical student empathy with clinical competence. Patient Educ Couns. 2017







#### Table 2

Frequency and Percent Distributions of the Hemoglobin A1c and LDL-C Test Results for 891 Diabetic Patients, Treated Between July 2006 and June 2009, by Levels of Their Physicians' Empathy\*

	No. (%) of patients by levels of physicians' empathy					
Patient outcome	High (n = 205)	Moderate (n = 282)	Low (n = 404)			
Hemoglobin A1c <sup>+</sup>						
<7.0%	115 (56)	139 (49)	163 (40)			
≥7.0% and ≤9.0%	59 (29)	99 (35)	135 (34)			
>9.0%	31 (15)	44 (16)	106 (26)			
LDL-C <sup>‡</sup>						
<100	121 (59)	149 (53)	180 (44)			
≥100 and ≤130	56 (27)	86 (30)	128 (32)			
>130	28 (14)	47 (17)	96 (24)			



[12] Hojat M, Louis DZ, Markham FW, Wender R, Rabinowitz C, Gonnella JS. Physicians' empathy and clinical outcomes for diabetic patients. Acad Med. 2011







#### Background





[13] Kalet A, Buckvar-Keltz L, Monson V et al. Professional Identity Formation in medical school: One measure reflects changes during pre-clerkship training [version 1]. MedEdPublish 2018.

[14] Sarraf-Yazdi S, Teo Y N, How A E H, et al. A scoping review of professional identity formation in undergraduate medical education[J]. Journal of general internal medicine, 2021.







Healthcare workers develop their professional identity and subsequently conceptualize their multiple identities as important implications for their well-being and the relationships they form with fellow workers and patients.







- The literature addressing the relationship between PIF, empathy, and shame among Trainee healthcare workers is nascent.
- Need quantitative experiments to quantify the relationship.
- To help trainee physicians to regulate shame, increase their empathy and shape themselves PIF.

### Research Methodology

- Participant selection: trainee physicians in Tokyo.
- Sample size:

$$n_0 = 1/[\frac{1}{N} + \frac{d^2}{z_a^2 z^2}]$$
  $n_1 = n_0 \times deff$   $n_2 = n_1/r$ 

The recovery rate r=80%, substituting S<sup>2</sup>=20, deff=1.5,d=5, 1-a=95%, N=100000, then get n=292, for the convenience of the survey selected 300 people as the survey respondents.

Other as Shamer Scale (OAS)

#### Jefferson Scale of Empathy (HP-Version) 日本語版 下記のそれぞれの文章についてあなたがどの程度同意するか、あるいは同意しないかを表示してください。 その際、適切な評価指数(後述のスケール参照)を選び右欄の〇を塗りつぶしてください。 以下の7点のスケールを使用して下さい(点が高いほど強く同意することを示します)。それぞれの設問において塗りつ ぶすのは一つだけです。1 (全く同意しない) 2-3-4-5-6-7 (全くその通りである) 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1- 担当患者及び家族がどのように感じているかということを理解することは、内科的、 ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ 外科的な治療に影響を及ぼさない。 2- 私が担当患者の気持ちを理解したら、担当患者はより快適と感じる。--00000000 3 ペ 私にとって担当患者の視点に立って物事を見ることは難しい。 ペ 00000000 コミュニケーションと同じくらい重要であると思う。 7 私は病歴聴取の際や身体的健康状態について尋ねる際に、患者の感情に留意しないよ ○○○○○○○○○○ うにしている。 8 ─ 担当患者の個人的な経験に心を配ることは、治療結果に影響を及ぼさない。 ~ 0000000 9 私は担当患者に治療を行う際、彼らの視点で物事をとらえる努力している。 0000000 10 私の患者は、彼らの気持ちを私が理解することは、そのこと自体で治療効果があると ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ 評価し 11- 患者の 0000000 00000 300000 13- 私は、担当患者の言葉にはでてこない とによって、彼らの考えていることを理解しようとしている。 14 私は、内科的疾患の治療において感情の入る余地はないと考える。 0000000 15 共感は治療の技能であり、それなしでは治療の成功には限界がある。 0000000 16 - 担当患者との関係において重要な構成要素となるのが担当患者及び家族の感情の状態 O · O · O · O · O · O · O · O · O · O を理解することである。 17 より良いケアを提供するために、私は患者と同じように考えるよう努めている。 00000000 18 私は担当患者やその家族との間の強い個人的なきずなによって影響を受けることを自 ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

分自身に許さないようにしている。~

5	Other people see me as not measuring up to them
2	I think that other people look down on me
1	I feel other people see me as not good enough
6	Other people see me as small and insignificant
4	I feel insecure about others opinion of me
8 7	People see me as unimportant compared to others
7	Other people see me as defective as a person
17	Others think there is something missing in me
16	Others see me as empty and unfulfilled
18	Other people think I have lost control over my
	body and feelings
15	Others see me as fragile
12	Others are critical or punishing when I make a mistake
14	Other pr ore mays some the my sistakes
13	Other projective in the ny stakes People district the new restakes make make states
9	Other people look for my faults
3	Other people put me down a lot
11	I think others are able to see my defects
Dev	elopment of the Japanese version of the Other As
	mer Scale using item response theory
-	
Yoichi H	liramatsu 🖂, <u>Kenichi Asano,</u> <u>Yasuhiro Kotera, Toshihiko Sensui</u> , <u>Ayumu Endo, Eiji Shimizu</u> ,

Jaskaran Basran & Ken Goss

MacLeod Clark Professional Identity Scale (MCPIS -9) (Five-point Likert scale, with 1: Strongly Agree, 2: Agree, 3: Neutral, 4: Disagree, 5: Strongly Disagree)

1. I feel like I am a member of this profession.

1 Strongly Agree 2 - Agree 3 Neutral 4 - Disagree 5 Strongly Disagree

2. I feel I have strong ties with members of this profession.

1 - Strongly Agree 2 - Agree 3 - Neutral 4 - Disagree 5 - Strongly Disagree

3. I am often ashamed to admit that I am studying for this profession.

1 - Strongly Agree 2 - Agree 3 - Neutral 4 - Disagree 5 - Strongly Disagree

4. 1 find myself making excuses for belonging to this profession.

1 - Strongly Agree 2 - Agree 3 - Neutral 4 - Disagree 5 - Strongly Disagree

5. I try to hide that I am studying to be part of this profession.

1 - Strongly Agree 2 - Agree 3 - Neutral 4 - Disagree 5 - Strongly Disagree

6. I am pleased to belong to this profession

 1 - Strongly Agree
 3
 Veutral
 4 - Disagree
 5 - Strongly Disagree

 7.
 1 can identify positive
 vith me ve of this profession.

- 1-Strongly Agree 2-Agree 3-Neutral 4-Disagree 5-Strongly Disagree
- 8. Being a member of this profession is important to me.

1 - Strongly Agree 2 - Agree 3 - Neutral 4 - Disagree 5 - Strongly Disagree

9. I feel I share characteristics with other members of the profession.

1 - Strongly Agree 2 - Agree 3 - Neutral 4 - Disagree 5 - Strongly Disagree





Study Gantt Chart		Project Year 2			
Work Package 1: Questionnaire preparation	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
1.1 Search and identify the required questionnaire, obtain the original questionnaire and Japanese translation	•				
1.2 Production of the Japanese version of the MCPIS-9 questionnaire	٠				
1.3 Create a complete questionnaire collection and create a Google questionnaire		٠			
Work Package 2: Collecting Data					
2.1 Hospitals were randomly selected and contacted to distribute the questionnaire QR code to the intern doctors in the hospitals		٠	٠		
2.2 Place the questionnaire QR code on Japanese related social media platforms to attract more intern doctors to participate		٠	٠		
Work Package 3: Analyzing Data					
3.1 Questionnaire results will be analyzed by descriptive statistic.				٠	٠
3.2 Psychological models will be confirmed by structural equation modeling.		1		٠	٠

# THANK YOU !